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ABSTRACT

JAMIE DIANNE FINLEY: A Study of the Effect of a Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports Program on Cell Phone Usage in a High School Setting
(Under the direction of Dr. Ryan Bronkema)

A Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) is a proactive program designed to meet the needs of all students through teaching and modeling of appropriate behaviors. The program is intended to reduce the occurrences of unwanted behaviors in the classroom; thus, increasing instructional time and student success. Universal strategies are implemented school wide with additional interventions for students who continue to violate specific rules. The three tiers of the program include interventions based on the needs of the school, targeted for specific students, and intensive for those who need additional support. The purpose of the program evaluation was to determine if PBIS effectively reduced office referrals for 9th grade students who inappropriately used their cell phones within the classroom for High School H. Archived data from the School-Wide Information System (SWIS) data system included year One and Two of implementation of the program from 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. Results of this program evaluation revealed PBIS had reduced the occurrences of cell phone violations for 9th grade students. Recommendations include (a) development of culturally sensitive interventions, (b) additional training for teachers for interventions at Tier Two and three, and (c) qualitative data from teachers, students, and administrators.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Description of the Program

High Schools across the country are working diligently to produce graduates who are emotionally and career ready for the next phase of their lives. As teachers, administrators, and stakeholders strive for excellence, students can be uninterested, distracted, or lack the motivation to be full participants in their educational experience. An increasingly growing problem in the classroom is the inappropriate use of cell phones. With the unique opportunities that technology and cell phones present in the classroom, they also have unintended consequences by the availability of cell phones in the classroom. Consequences can include office referrals, suspensions, cheating, sexting, and cyberbullying. An effort to proactively deal with cell phones one western high school, High School H, chose to implement a Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) program for their students. PBIS is a school-wide program that includes “proactive strategies for defining, teaching, and supporting appropriate student behaviors to create a positive school environment” (Swain-Bradway, Pinkey, & Flannery, 2015, p.245). High Schools chose to focus on cell phone usage due to the high occurrences of office referrals in hopes of keeping students in the classroom for instruction.

Purpose of Evaluation and Evaluation Questions

The purpose of the evaluation of PBIS was to determine if the program was effective in reducing the number of office referrals due to inappropriate use of cell phones specifically for 9th
grade students at High School H. The evaluation focused on the following questions as a guide for the evaluation process.

- To what extent has the implementation of PBIS reduced office referrals for the inappropriate uses of cell phones in the classroom for 9th grade students. Are there statistically significant differences between the frequencies of office referrals for inappropriate use of cell phones based on students’ demographic data?
- Are there statistically significant differences between the frequencies of office referrals for inappropriate use of cell phones between students on free and reduced lunch and those who are not?
- Is there a correlation between the drop in office referrals and an increase in a CCRPI score?

**Methods and Analytical Strategy**

A quantitative method of analysis was chosen to fully understand the processes and mechanisms of the PBIS program at High School H. This specific method used archived data from the school’s data system (SWIS) for the two years of implementation of PBIS. The conducting of the data analysis process was done primarily through an EZAnalyze data tool. EZAnalyze is a “Microsoft Excel add-in that is designed to help educators, particularly school counselors, and use data efficiently and effectively” (Poynton, 2007, p.2). The data was categorized based on each of the evaluation questions, and then the extension used a paired t-test for each question. Also, question four required a Pearson correlation to determine if a relationship existed between the schools College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI) score and the increasing graduation rate.
Summary of Findings

After the completion of the analysis, a summary for each evaluation question included findings from the data analysis. Specifically, the universal strategies at Tier One were effective in decreasing the overall rate of office referrals. However, due to the lack of interventions at Tier Two, and targeted interventions at Tier Three, repeat offenders continued to violate the rules and lose valuable instructional time in the classroom. Additionally, PBIS did show a significant impact on female students and Caucasian students. Although the universal strategies at Tier One were successful for those students, other populations continued to struggle. Specifically, male and minority students continued to misuse their cell phones in the classroom resulting in repeated office referrals. In addition, students who received either free or reduced lunch in comparison to students who paid full price did not increase nor decrease the occurrences of office referrals. Lastly, the Pearson Correlation test could not establish a direct relationship between the schools increase in their CCRPI score and the decrease in the student office referrals for the two years of the evaluation.

Implications for Practice

Implications for future research include gathering qualitative data from teachers, students, administrators, and stakeholders. These data would prove valuable for the leadership team and the administration when making modifications to the program. Additionally, the leadership team must continue use data to make improvements and design interventions specific for students who need additional support. Interventions should include interventions that are both culturally sensitive and intentional for students at Tier Two and Tier Three. The specific students at these tiers are at-risk for dropping out of high school and repeat offenders. The training and resources require additional funding and time investment from the administration of High School H. A
final implication is a need for data from year three and four from the data system. A limitation of this evaluation was the limited amount of data. By including more data, a clearer understanding of the role of PBIS and in reducing discipline referrals will improve the validity of the program evaluation.

**Recommendations**

The recommendations from this evaluation are specific to the student population who are not benefiting from PBIS and the stakeholders of the program. Repeat offenders need specific interventions that are culturally sensitive, targeted towards their behaviors, and reinforced on a daily basis. The students who could potentially benefit miss valuable time in the classroom which can lead to a higher likelihood of dropping out of high school. The leadership team needs resources and members who can teach, model, and implement these interventions. To effectively accomplish this task additional training and resource materials are needed for teachers and staff members.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Classroom disruptions are a serious cause for concern for administrators in high schools. While behaviors can start as small infractions, they can quickly escalate to serious issues. A significant source of classroom disruptions is students’ use of cellphones at school (Thomas & Orthober, 2011). Cell phones are reportedly in the hands of 78% of all teenagers (Madden, Lenhart, Duggan, Cortesi, & Gasser, 2013). Lenhart (2012) found that little difference is found between students who own a cell phone based on their socioeconomic status; however, the difference is found with cell phone usage based on gender and ethnicity. With the ever-growing number of users, the potential for misuse is growing as well. Lenhart (2012) reported 64% of teen’s text during class, even though the cell phone policies clearly stated that they were not allowed. According to Humble-Thaden (2011), some administrators and teachers view cell phones as a deterrent to learning rather than an educational tool. A student who is texting, their cell phones ringing, or checking their social media, are common distractions related to inappropriate uses during the school day.

The inability for students to refrain from using their cell phones has forced school officials to implement school policies to address this specific problem. The National Center for Education Statistics (2013) reported that during the 2009-2010 school year “93 percent of public schools had limited the access to social networking websites and 91 percent had prohibited the use of cell phones and text messaging during school hours” (p. 84). The consequence for breaking cell phone rules can have serious effects on student success due to loss of time in the